22nd EUGridPMA, Prague, Wednesday May 11th 2011

RAT report, David Groep, DG (on behalf of Jens Jensen, JJ)

DG: Alternate CRL locations problems – fetch-crl v1/v2 don't support it. EL6 and Debian by default get fetch-crl v3. EGI – epel repo will supersede EGI/EMI repo so EGI should be fine.

New CAs, David Groep

Turkey: HIAST CP/CPS the last version is ok, once technical details are finished HIAST will be ready for accreditation.

Turkey: South Africa sent CP/CPS recently and Turkey sent review to Bruce.

Turkey: JUnet should send feedback (updated CP/CPS) before the next meeting.

Turkey: they have provided feedback to the first Tunisian CP/CPS. Egypt is trying to prepare their CP/CPS, hopefully they'll provide online web server and the first version by the end of this month.

Self-audit, David Groep

BalticGrid CA: currently handling NGI funding, should reserve presentation for the next meeting, updated CP/CPS will be done by August 1st.

IUCC: Christos T (CT) tried to contact but haven't received reply yet, wait for an answer by tomorrow, otherwise escalation process will start.

pkIRISGrid: DG will ask Diego tomorrow.

AEGIS: Emir Imamagic (EI) will ping AEGIS.

DFN: planning CP/CPS update, no timeline.

MREN: Willy Weisz (WW) has to do some work on the review.

Grid Ireland: DG will add JJ to list of reviewers, David O'Callaghan (DOC) will attend January meeting.

PRACE-RI, the Partnership for Advanced Computing in Europe, Jules Wolfrat (JW)

GridShib discussion. David Kelsey, DK asked if TCS certificate problems were traced.

Authorization WG, David Kelsey

Editing of the AA document.

Document managed by EUGridPMA instead of WG.

Should the AA be separate from AASP. AA is community and AASP is just providing services, usually for multiple communities.

WW: definition of who gets the attribute is needed, i.e. subject needs to be defined.

WW: attribute is property/value pair or just property?

Milan Sova, MS: definition of VO is not clear. DK: definition comes from the well known source, it should be removed from the document.

… lots of discussion …

22nd EUGridPMA, Prague, Thursday May 12th 2011

Security Token Services: EMI implementation and plans, Christoph Witzig, CW

JW: PRACE relies on LDAP, interested to see how STS can improve X509 handling and user experience for PRACE.

Reimer Karlsen-Masur, RKM: relation with EduGain? Diego Lopez, DL: yes, edugain could get metadata from STS, trust and policies need to be discussed.

GEMbus STS, Diego Lopez, DL

CW: profile timeline is next following months. Is there documentation for the service? DL – cookbook is planned for this year.

Teun Nijssen, TN: does DNSSEC play a role in STS framework? DL: yes. CW: no answer. MS: DNS does not cover everything (e.g. users).

Discussion about collaboration: GEMbus is broader in scope, STS intended for a specific service. Collaboration limited to aligning policies.

DG: Grid services require higher assurance levels. How many non-grid services require such high level assurance? DL: campus use cases, cloud providers.

DK: mapping with IGTF profiles, is it always SLCS or tokens could be longer? DL: all the ones are short-lived. CW: at this point short-lived, someone could request long-lived. JW: then revocation becomes an issue. DL: there is no standard way of revocation of SAML assertion.

DG: STS will have multiple sources – difference from SLCS profile.

DG: is there enough interest to define profiles for international STS services? MS: it is too early, we don't have experience or users. RKM: don't we need demand from RP? JW, DK: profile definition should be aligned with software development. DG: what will happen when STS is released and there is no policy for it?

Moonshot discussion.

Self-audit: MARGI CA, Bozidar Proevski

Self review: Emir Imamagic, David Groep